Joint Conference of AsiaFlux Workshop 2017 and the 15th Anniversary Celebration of ChinaFLUX

## Development and validation of a two leaf light use

#### efficiency model based on flux measurements

Weimin Ju, Yanlian Zhou, and Qian Zhang Nanjing University

August 16-19, 2017, Beijing



Model structure

Model validation

Model Parameterization

Conclusions

# Light use efficiency model assume that GPP linearly increases with incoming PAR

模型	NPP/GPP 计算	APAR 计算	FPAR 计算	ε计算	文献
CASA	$NPP = APAR \times \varepsilon$	$S \times FPAR \times 0.5$	$\min[\frac{SR - SR_{\min}}{SR_{\max} - SR_{\min}}, 0.95]$	$\varepsilon_{\max} f(T) f(\theta)$	Potter et al., 2003, GGC
C-Flux	$GPP = APAR \times \varepsilon$	$S \times FPAR \times c$	$a \times NDVI + b$	$\varepsilon_{\max} f(T) f(CO_2)$	Veroustraete et al., 2002, RSE
CFLUX	$GPP = APAR \times \varepsilon$	PAR×FPAR		$\varepsilon_{\max} f(T_{\min}) f(VPD)$ $f(\theta) f(Age)$	Turner, 2006, Tellus
GLO-PE M	$NPP = APAR \times \varepsilon$ $\times Y_g \times Y_m$	PAR×FPAR	1.08× <i>NDVI</i> – 0.08	$\varepsilon_{\max} f(T_{\min}) f(VPD)$ $f(\theta)$	Prince, 1995, Biogeography
BEAMS	$GPP = APAR \times \varepsilon$			$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{\max} & \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} \\ S = & \frac{P(\text{temp, hs, Fsoil1, Fsoil2})}{P(\text{temp\_opt, hs\_opt, Fsoil1\_opt, Fsoil2\_opt})} \end{aligned}$	Sasai et al., 2005, JGR
VPM	$GPP = APAR \times \varepsilon$	$PAR  imes FPAR_{PAV}$	EVI	$\varepsilon_{\max} f(T) f(W) f(P)$	Xiao et al., 2004, RSE
MODIS-g GPP 算法	$GPP = APAR \times \varepsilon$	PAR×FPAR	$1 - e^{-K \times LAI}$	$\varepsilon_{\max} f(T_{\min}) f(VPD)$	Zhao et al., 2005, RSE

## Response of photosynthesis to light intensity



Song, 2010

 Contributions of Sunlit and Shaded Leaves to the Total GPP (BEPS, Needleleaf Forests)



◆ Effect of radiation change on net terrestrial carbon sequestration

after Pinatubo volcanic eruption





Solar Elevation Angle (Degree)



**Harvard Forest** 

GPP increased by 23% and 8% on clear days in 1992 and 1993, respectively.

Gu et al., Science, 2003

#### Canopy LUE decreases with sky clearness ChinaFlux sites



Zhang et al., AFM, 2011

Bias of GPP calculated using the MOD17 algorithm





Propastin et al., RSE, 2012

#### Two-leaf light use efficiency model

 $GPP = \varepsilon_{max\_sun} f(VPD) g(T_{amin}) APAR_{sun} + \varepsilon_{max\_shaded} f(VPD) g(T_{amin}) APAR_{shaded}$ 

 $APAR_{shaded} = (1 - \alpha) [(PAR_{dif} - PAR_{dif\_under}) / LAI + C] LAI_{shaded}$ 

 $APAR_{sun} = [(1 - \alpha)PAR_{dir}\cos(\beta)/\cos(\theta) + PAR_{shaded}]LAI_{sun}$ 

 $S_{dif} = S_g (0.7527 + 3.8453 R - 16.316 R^2 + 18.962 R^3 - 7.0802 R^4)$ 

 $LAI_{sun} = 2\cos(\theta)(1 - \exp(-0.5\Omega LAI / \cos(\theta)))$ 

 $LAI_{shaded} = LAI - LAI_{sun}$ 

He, Ju et al., 2013, AFM



#### TL-LUE model outperformances the MOD17 algorithms at half-hour, daily and 8-day time scales



Wu, Ju et al., 2015, Remote Sensing

#### Low sensitivity of the TL-LUE to incoming PAR



Zhou, Ju et al., 2016, JGR-Biogeosciences

#### Slightly higher sensitivity of the TL-LUE to LAI



#### Zhou, Ju et al., 2016, JGR-Biogeosciences

#### ♦ Validation of the TL-LUE model at regional scale using SIF data



The correlation coefficient between monthly GPP simulated by the TL-LUE model and SIF Zhan, Zhou, Ju et al., 2017, STE, revised

♦ The correlation coefficient between monthly GPP simulated by the TL-LUE model and SIF (n=3×8=24)



Zan, Zhou, Ju et al., 2017, STE, revised

#### Spatial similarity between monthly GPP simulated by TL-LUE and SIF in different seasons



Spring

Summer Autumn

Winter

Spring

**Summer Autumn Winter** 

Zan, Zhou, Ju et al., 2017, STE, revised

## Spatial similarity between annual GPP simulated by TL-LUE and SIF in different years



Zan, Zhou, Ju et al., 2017, STE, revised

Differences of sunlit and shaded leaves in maximum LUE, LAI, APAR, and GPP for different land cover types



Zhou, Ju et al., 2016, JGR-Biogeosciences

#### Shaded leaves dominate the maximum LUE of canopy



Zhou, Ju et al., 2016, JGR-Biogeosciences

• Tracking LUE using PRI at Qianyanzhou site

 $PRI = (R_{531} - R_{570}) / (R_{531} + R_{570})$ 

#### Dependence of PRI on observational angles



Zhang, Ju et al., 2015, RS; Zhang, Chen, Ju et al., 2017, RSE

Upward

looking

sensor

Downware

looking sensor

⊢ PTU

Control

PTU cable

Fiber

optics

Unispec-DC

• Two methods for deriving canopy PRI from observations at different angles (1)  $PRI_{obs} = P_T PRI_{sun} + P_S PRI_{sh}$  $PRI_t = PRI_{sun} \times L_{sun}/LAI + PRI_{sh} \times L_{sh}/LAI$  $(2)PRI_b = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}PRI_i$ 

N is the number of observational angles



Average diurnal correlation coefficients (R) between half-hourly PRI<sub>b</sub> and LUE and between half-hourly PRI<sub>t</sub> and LUE



Zhang, Ju et al., 2015, RS; Zhang, Chen, Ju et al., 2017, RSE

Relationships of daily mean PRI<sub>b</sub> and PRI<sub>t</sub> with four bioclimatic factors on 133 non-rainy days over the growing season.



Zhang, Ju et al., 2015, RS; Zhang, Chen, Ju et al., 2017, RSE

Relationships between (a) daily PRIb and LUE, (b) between daily PRIt and LUE, (c) between daily PRI<sub>h</sub> and LUE, and (d) their variations .



Zhang, Ju et al., 2015, RS; Zhang, Chen, Ju et al., 2017, RSE

- Modeling Gross Primary Production for Sunlit and Shaded
  Canopies Across an Evergreen and a Deciduous Site in Canada
- A bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) was used to model PRI as the linear combination of isotropic, geometric, and volumetric scattering components

$$PRI(\theta_{v}, \theta_{s}, \emptyset) = K_{i} + K_{g}F_{g}(\theta_{v}, \theta_{s}, \emptyset) + K_{v}F_{v}(\theta_{v}, \theta_{s}, \emptyset)$$

- ✓ PRI at hotspot as PRI<sub>sunlit</sub>
- ✓ PRI at darkspot as PRI<sub>shaded</sub>

#### ♦ Seasonal variations of LUE







## Relationships between and at 8-day periods at SOA (a, b and c) and DF-49 (d, e and f), respectively



Relationships between and at 16-day periods at SOA (a, b and c) and DF-49 (d, e and f), respectively



• Tower-based GPP against GPP simulated using 8-day mean LUE (LUE<sub>sun</sub>, LUE<sub>shaded</sub>, and LUE) based on observed PRI (PRI<sub>sun</sub>, PRI<sub>shaded</sub>, and PRI)



Zhou, Hilker, Ju et al., 2017, IEEE TGARS

• Tower-based GPP against GPP simulated using 16-day mean LUE (LUE<sub>sun</sub>, LUE<sub>shaded</sub>, and LUE) based on observed PRI (PRI<sub>sun</sub>, PRI<sub>shaded</sub>, and PRI)



Zhou , Hilker, Ju et al., 2017, IEEE TGARS

### Conclusions

- The TL-LUE outperforms the MOD17 algorithm at half-hour, daily, and 8-day temporal scales
- Optimized model parameters vary significantly with land cover types
- For a give land cover type, optimized model parameters vary largely across different sites
- PRI is able to track LUE, useful for improving GPP simulations

# **Relevant publications**

1. Zhan Q, Chen JM, **Ju WM\***, Wang HM, Qiu F, Yang FT, Fan WL, Huang Q, Wang YP, Feng YK, Wang XJ, and Zhang FM, 2017. Improving the ability of the photochemical reflectance index to track canopy light use efficiency through differentiating sunlit and shaded leaves. *<u>Remote Sensing of Environment</u>*, 194: 1-15.

2. Zhou YL, Hilker T, **Ju WM**, Coops NC, Black TA, Chen JM, and Wu XC, 2017. Modeling gross primary production for sunlit and shaded canopies across an evergreen and a deciduous site in Canada. *IEEE Transactions on Geosciences and Remote Sensing*, 55(4):1859-1873.

3. Zhou YL, Wu XC, **Ju WM\***, Chen JM, Wang SQ, Wang HM, et al., 2016. Global parameterization and validation of a two-leaf light use efficiency model for predicting gross primary production across FLUXNET sites. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences*, 121: 1045–1072.

4. Zhang Q, Ju WM, Chen JM, Wang HM, Yang FT, Fan WL, Huang Q, Zheng T, Feng YK, Zhou YL, He MZ, Qiu F, Wang, XJ, Wang J, Zhang FM, Chou SR, 2015. Ability of the photochemical reflectance index to track light use efficiency for a sub-tropical planted coniferous forest. *<u>Remote Sensing</u>*, 7(12): 16938-16962.

5.Wu XC, **Ju WM**\*, Zhou YL, He MZ, et al., 2015. Performance of linear and nonlinear two-leaf light use efficiency models at different temporal scales. *<u>Remote Sensing</u>*,7(3): 2238-2278.

6. He MZ, **Ju WM**<sup>\*</sup>, Zhou YL, Chen JM, He HL, Wang SQ, Wang HM, Guan DX, Yan JH, Li YN, Hao YB, Zhao FH, 2013. Development of a two-leaf light use efficiency model for improving the calculation of terrestrial gross primary productivity. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 173, 28-39.

7. He MZ, Zhou YL, Ju WM\*, Chen JM, Zhang L, Wang SQ, Saigusa N, Hirata R, Murayama S, Liu YB, 2013. Evaluation and improvement of MODIS gross primary productivity in typical forest ecosystems of East Asia based on eddy covariance measurements. Journal of Forest Research, 18, 31-40.

# Thanks!